

Programming in Coq

Yves Bertot

October 2018

Why use Formal verification tools

- ▶ Write programs with less bugs
- ▶ Document the programs with logical statements
- ▶ Verify the logical statements with the computer
- ▶ Model and verify existing programs or systems

Example of program with less bugs

- ▶ Compcert C compiler
- ▶ Use a formal description of the C programming language and assembly languages
- ▶ Construct a formal description of a compiler from C to assembly
- ▶ **Prove** that the compiler respects the semantics of programs
- ▶ Independently tested by the C-smith tool
- ▶ More information on
<http://compcert.inria.fr/motivations.html>

Compiler correctness statement

Taken from CompCert 3.4, file driver/Compiler.v

Theorem transf_c_program_correct:

```
forall p tp,  
transf_c_program p = OK tp ->  
backward_simulation (Csem.semantics p) (Asm.semantics tp).
```

Proof.

```
intros.  
apply c_semantic_preservation.  
apply transf_c_program_match; auto.
```

Qed.

Ambitious projects

- ▶ End-to-end verification of large systems
- ▶ Formal verification brings composability
- ▶ Complex inner parts can be factored out
- ▶ You only need to understand the definition and the top statement
- ▶ The odd-order theorem in group theory (Feit-Thompson) is an example
 - ▶ The definitions and the statements fit in two pages

Let's start easy

Two ways to develop software in Coq

- ▶ Describe algorithms inside Coq, Execute outside
 - ▶ Stronger programming tools
 - ▶ Lighter runtime environment
- ▶ Do everything inside Coq
 - ▶ Simpler programming language
 - ▶ Use Coq as an interpreter
 - ▶ Instant feedback
- ▶ We will mostly show the latter

Basic data structures

- ▶ numbers 1, 42, 1024
- ▶ boolean values true, false
- ▶ pairs (1, true)
- ▶ lists of things 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: 4 :: nil
- ▶ functions fun x => x

More about functions

- ▶ binary operations on numbers `+`, `*`, `/` `mod`, `-`
- ▶ boolean relations on numbers `<?`, `=?`, `<=?`
- ▶ boolean operations on boolean values `&&`, `||`
- ▶ boolean negation `negb`
- ▶ test on boolean value `if` `then` `else`
- ▶ projections on pairs `fst`, `snd`
- ▶ more complex programming structure for lists (to be given later)

Defining and using your own functions

- ▶ Give a name to a value : `Definition name := value.`
 - ▶ Give a name to a function :
`Definition fname := fun x => x.`
 - ▶ Alternative : `Definition fname x := x.`
- ▶ Use a function: write the name before the argument
write `f (fname 1)`
 - ▶ parentheses not always needed
- ▶ Check your own steps using the Check command.
- ▶ Compute your examples using the Compute command.
- ▶ Know what is defined using the Print.

Examples

```
Require Import Arith Bool List.
```

```
Definition add2 x := x + 2.
```

```
Check add2 3.
```

```
add2 3 : nat
```

```
Compute add2 3.
```

```
= 5 : nat
```

```
Definition twice (f : nat -> nat) (x : nat) := f (f x).
```

```
Compute twice (twice add2) 1.
```

```
= 9 : nat
```

Comments on the examples

- ▶ `twice` is a function with two arguments
 - ▶ the syntax is really different from C, java, etc.
- ▶ parentheses are needed around `f x` in the definition of `twice`
- ▶ **No parentheses** around the two arguments in the use of `twice`
- ▶ `twice` can also be used with only one argument

Functions about data-structures

- ▶ components of a pair : `fst`, `snd`
- ▶ Fetching elements of a list

```
match l with  
| a :: l1 => f a l1  
| nil => v  
end
```

Programming with lists

```
Definition headplus1 (l : list nat) :=  
  match l with  
  | a :: l1 => a + 1  
  | nil => 0  
end.
```

Recursive programming with lists

- ▶ Lists can be arbitrary long
- ▶ A list has a sub-component that is itself a list
- ▶ A recursive program can call itself on that sub-component

```
Fixpoint grow_nat (l : list nat) :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => nil  
  | a :: l1 => 2 * a :: 2 * a + 1 :: grow_nat l1  
end.
```

```
Fixpoint my_filter {T : Type} (p : T -> bool)  
  (l : list T) : list T :=  
  match l with  
  | nil => nil  
  | a :: l1 => if p a then a :: my_filter p l1 else my_filter p l1  
end.
```

Comments on list programming

- ▶ Lists and pairs are *polymorphic* data structures
- ▶ You don't need to know the type of elements for many operations
- ▶ You can choose for the type argument to be implicit.
- ▶ No undefined behavior: all functions must cover the case where the argument is empty

Making your own data type

- ▶ Lists are an example of data type with two cases, and one of the cases has two sub-components
- ▶ You can make your own choice.
- ▶ Example drawn from an example available in `coq-contribs`, `semantics`

```
Inductive aexpr0 : Type :=  
  avar (s : string)  
| anum (n :Z)  
| aplus (a1 a2:aexpr0).
```

```
Inductive bexpr0 : Type :=  blt (_ _ : aexpr0).
```

```
Inductive instr0 : Type :=  
  assign (_ : string) (_ : aexpr0)  
| sequence (_ _ : instr0)  
| while (_ :bexpr0)(_ : instr0)  
| skip.
```